

285
25
C
8-16-02

COPY OF PAPERS
ORIGINALLY FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

PATENT

In re application of

Shigefumi Odaohhara

Serial Number: 10/003,200

Filed: 11/15/01

Title: Method of Calculating Capacity of
Intelligent Battery, Intelligent Battery
and Portable Electronic Device

Docket No.: JP920000409US1



: Date: July 29, 2002
: Group Art Unit: Not Assigned
: Examiner: Not Assigned
: IBM CORPORATION
Intellectual Property Law
Personal and Printing Systems Group
: Department 9CCA/002-2
P. O. Box 12195
: Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-2195

RECEIVED
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800
AUG 20 2002

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT (IDS) UNDER 37 CFR 1.97

Assistant Commissioner For Patents
Washington, D. C. 20231

Dear Sir:

The attached Form PTO-1449, and any attached foreign patent office search report, provides a listing of information which may be relevant to the subject application. This IDS is not intended as a representation that better art is not available, nor that the information provided is prior art.

This IDS is submitted under:

37 CFR 1.97(b) - No Fee.

37 CFR 1.97(c) - No Fee. Each item of information contained in this information disclosure statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge payments of any associated fees required under 37 CFR 1.17(p) and (l) (1) to Deposit Account No. 09-1990.

By:

J. Bruce Schelkopf
Registration No. 43,901
Telephone No.: (919) 543-4753

RECEIVED
AUG 23 2002
Respectfully submitted,
Ira Richardson
on
7-29-02
Name Date of Deposit
Signature Date

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the
United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:
ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS WASHINGTON,
D.C. 20231

JP920000409US1

PROSECUTION INFORMATION

PRIVILEGE REVIEW REQUIRED

Docket No: JP920000409EP1
29 April 2002

	NAME	LOCATION
To:	A Rosen H Kitani H Ogura SH Park J H Wang J B Schelkopf	Canada Japan for India Japan Korea Taiwan Raleigh
From:	D P Litherland	United Kingdom

Ogura-san

I would appreciate your comments on the distinction between the prior art and the invention.

X	EPO Search Report and References (only prior art not available on the web is attached)
	EPO Office Action
	Amendment filed in EPO
	UK Search Report and References (only prior art not available on the web is attached)
	UK Office Action
	Amendment filed in UKPO
	PCT International Search Report (only prior art not available on the web is attached)
	PCT Written Opinion
	PCT Response to Written Opinion
	PCT International Preliminary Examination Report

PP 

D P Litherland
Intellectual Property Law
DPL/ees

20. MAY - 6 2002 10:43

PC COMPANY
LEGAL DEPARTMENT
RALEIGH

cc: WPTS